

AB



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,503	05/31/2001	Lawrence R. Green	033599-019	2081

21839 7590 09/24/2004

BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P
POST OFFICE BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

MOSHER, MARY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1648

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/872,503	Applicant(s) GREEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1648	

All Participants:

(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.

(2) Deborah Yellin 45904

Date of Interview: 22 September 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
all


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MARY E. MOSHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800 1600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: There were 2 preliminary amendments that were inconsistent in treatment of which claims were pending, which claims does applicant actually want examined? Applicant wants claims 31-47; later amendment to claims 1-30 was made in error. Since exr can't seem to get the clerical staff to send out the paperwork to get the preliminary amendments fixed, exr will proceed with claims 31-47 and applicant will straighten out the claims in the first response.